

# Hanbury-Brown and Twiss bunching of phonons and of the quantum depletion in a strongly-interacting Bose gas



PARIS-SACLAY

Hugo Cayla<sup>1</sup>, Salvatore Butera<sup>2</sup>, Cécile Carcy<sup>1</sup>, Antoine Ténart<sup>1</sup>, Gaétan Hercé<sup>1</sup>, Marco Mancini<sup>1</sup>, Alain Aspect<sup>1</sup>, **lacopo Carusotto<sup>3</sup>, and David Clément<sup>1</sup>** 

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire Charles Fabry – Palaiseau (France), <sup>2</sup>School of Physics and astronomy – Glasgow (UK), <sup>3</sup>Center and Dipartimento di Fisica – Trento (Italy)

# Measuring 3D (far-field) momentum distributions of individual atoms

Bose-Einstein condensates of metastable Helium atoms <sup>4</sup>He<sup>\*</sup>

ParisTech

3D optical lattice ( $\lambda$ =1550 nm)

He<sup>\*</sup> detector (micro-channel plates and delay lines):



MCP

#### Single-atom-resolved 3D distribution in far-field

- Detection in far-field regime of expansion [1]:  $t_{\rm tof} \simeq 300 \text{ ms} > t_{\rm FF} = \frac{mL^2}{2\hbar} \simeq 60 \text{ ms}$
- Ballistic expansion:
  - mean-field effect negligible ( $\hbar\omega_{
    m site}\gg\mu$ )



- Reconstruction of **3D positions** of individual atoms
- Large dynamic range in densities (no background)
- **Excellent resolution** 3.
- **Saturation** at high flux 4.

- two-body collisions negligible A. Tenart et al., Phys. Rev. Research 2, 013017 (2020)

Benchmarking the experiment with ab-initio Quantum Monte-Carlo (QMC)

Excellent match between measured TOF densities and QMC calculations of the in-trap momentum distributions by G. Carleo (Flatiron Institute) [2,3]



# **Second order correlation function** in the depletion

**Goal: Measure two-particles HBT like correlations with interacting** particles.

Measuring 3D atom distributions allows us to <u>separate the contribution</u> of the condensate from that of the depletion (thermal+quantum):

 $g_{\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}}^{(2)}(\delta \mathbf{k}) = \frac{\int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \langle a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k} + \delta \mathbf{k}) a(\mathbf{k}) a(\mathbf{k} + \delta \mathbf{k}) \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}}{\int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \langle n(\mathbf{k}) \rangle \langle n(\mathbf{k} + \delta \mathbf{k}) \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}}$ 

Volume over which we compute the correlation function

## Amplitude and width of the correlation peak

### Analysis of the amplitude

#### **Bogoliubov transformation:**

Interacting Bose gas = many-body ground state + non-interacting quasi-particles excitations of phononic nature at low k.

Non-interacting quasi-particles: Population set by temperature  $\rightarrow$  **Thermal Depletion** ightarrow Same as the ideal Bose gas ightarrow Gaussian/Chaotic statistics  $ightarrow q^{(2)}(0)=2$ 

#### Many-body ground state : BEC + Quantum Depletion

 $\rightarrow$  Pair-correlated atoms with opposite momenta  $\rightarrow$  Pure quantum state (analogy with



### New results: k/-k correlations in the quantum depletion

Quantum depletion = pairs of opposite momenta

two-mode squeezed vacuum)  $\rightarrow$  No bunching?

**IN FACT:** we measure local correlations between atoms belonging to two different pairs. → The density matrix is obtained by tracing over the second partner which is ignored  $\rightarrow$  Chaotic character  $\rightarrow$  Bunching!  $\rightarrow g^{(2)}(0) = 2$ 

Both thermal and quantum depletion show perfect bosonic bunching  $\rightarrow$  no effect of temperature on the amplitude.

### Analysis of the width

0.2

1.5

1.0

0.0



0.4

0.6

 $k_B T/\mu$ 

1.4 1.2  $^{(2)}(0)$ 0.8 0.6 0.2 0.0 0.4 0.6 1.0 0.8  $k_B T/\mu$ 

 $T_{\rm BEC}$ 

T=0  $\rightarrow$  only quantum depletion whose spatial size is limited to the BEC

 $\rightarrow$  Peak width is the inverse of the BEC width

At low temperature, low-lying phononic excitations appear, spatial size is very close to the BEC size  $\rightarrow$  Peak width close to T=0 width AND signficantly different from the ideal case.



When T increases, Bogoliubov excitations 1.0 progressively extends out of the condensate  $\rightarrow$  Spatial size increases  $\rightarrow$  Peak width diminishes

In general, the correlation peak width is smaller than that of ideal bosons in the same trap at same temperature, as the in-trap size is broadened by interactions  $\rightarrow$  Stronger difference at low temperature where interactions play a prominent role.

нŌч

0.8

Work recently published, H. Cayla et al., *Phys. Rev. Letters*, 125(16), 165301.

### Bibliography

1. F. Gerbier et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 155303 (2008).

2. Y. Kato et al. Nature Physics 4, 617 - 621 (2008) ; R. Ushnish & D.M. Ceperley, Phys. Rev. A 87, 051603 (2013).

3. S. Trotzky et al. Nat Phys 6, 998–1004 (2010).

